

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for August 9th through August 15th, 2016

<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Stemphylium Leaf Blight (<i>Stemphylium vesicarium</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and Bulb Nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	1	4	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	3	0	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Chemical; Environmental Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Genetic Disorders (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Impatiens Necrotic Spot (INSV) (Tospovirus Impatiens Necrotic Spot Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Potyvirus Group (<i>Potyvirus</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Tomato Spotted Wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp.	Squash	Cucurbit Bacterial Wilt (<i>Erwinia tracheiphila</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp.	Squash	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	2	0
<i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp.	Squash	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp.	Squash	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp.	Squash	Referred to Specialist (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Edgeworthia</i> sp./spp.	Paperbush	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Edgeworthia</i> sp./spp.	Paperbush	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lavandula</i> sp./spp.	Lavender	Crown Rot; Root Rot; Stem Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lavandula</i> sp./spp.	Lavender	Stem and Crown Rot (<i>Phytophthora nicotianae</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Nicotiana</i> sp./spp.	Nicotiana	Referred to Specialist (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Nicotiana</i> sp./spp.	Nicotiana	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Oak Twig Canker and Dieback (<i>Botryosphaeria quercuum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Scale Insects (Order homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp.	Rhododendron	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp.	Rhododendron	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Rubus</i> sp./spp.	Raspberry	Dagger Nematodes (<i>Xiphinema</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Syringa</i> sp./spp.	Lilac	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Syringa</i> sp./spp.	Lilac	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Syringa</i> sp./spp.	Lilac	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tilia cordata</i>	Small-leaved European linden	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tilia cordata</i>	Small-leaved European linden	Drainage Problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Woody ornamentals mixed species	Woody Ornamentals	Herbicide Injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Woody ornamentals mixed species	Woody Ornamentals	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.