

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for January 19th through January 30th 2017

<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Tomato; Pepper bacterial spot (<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv. <i>vesicatoria</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp.	Squash	Alternaria leaf spot (<i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp.	Squash	Mechanical damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp.	Squash	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Magnolia grandiflora</i>	Southern Magnolia	Basal canker; Butt rot (<i>Kretzschmaria deusta</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Magnolia grandiflora</i>	Southern Magnolia	Lichens (Lichenes)	0	0	1	0
<i>Magnolia grandiflora</i>	Southern Magnolia	Trunk decay; Rot (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Not pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>)	Tomato	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>)	Tomato	Late blight (<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>)	0	2	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>)	Tomato	Powdery mildew (<i>Oidium</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>)	Tomato	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>)	Tomato	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>)	Tomato	Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Bacterial soft rot (Unidentified Bacterium)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Fungus gnats (Mycetophilidae fam.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.