

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for November 1st through November 13th, 2017

Scientific Name	Common Name	Diagnosis	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Brassica oleracea acephala</i>	Kale	Pythium root and/or crown rot (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cichorium endiva</i>	Endive; Escarole	Bacterial leaf spot (Unidentified Bacteria)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Drainage problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Charcoal rot (<i>Macrophomina</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Phytophthora dieback; Blight (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	3	0	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Strawberry black root rot complex (Various Fungi)	1	0	2	0
<i>Platanus</i> sp./spp.	Planetree; Sycamore	Powdery mildew (<i>Erysiphe platani</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Platanus</i> sp./spp.	Planetree; Sycamore	Sycamore anthracnose (<i>Apiognomonia veneta</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus macrocarpa</i>	Bur Oak	Bur oak blight (<i>Tubakia iowensis</i>)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Quercus macrocarpa</i>	Bur Oak	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Discula anthracnose (<i>Discula</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Red leaf spot (<i>Tubakia dryina</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp.	Azalea; Rhododendron	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Bacterial soft rot (Unidentified Bacterium)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Unspecified pathology (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.