

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for November 28th through December 11th, 2017

Scientific Name	Common Name	Diagnosis	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Pink snow mold; Monographella patch (<i>Monographella nivalis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Bacterial leaf blight (<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Unidentified bacteria (Unidentified Bacteria)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Fusarium dieback (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unspecified pathology (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lilium</i> sp./spp.	Lily	Cladosporium leaf spot (<i>Cladosporium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lilium</i> sp./spp.	Lily	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Spruce spider mite (<i>Oligonychus ununguis</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Stigmata needle blight (<i>Stigmata lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Contarinia gall midge (<i>Contarinia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Spruce spider mite (<i>Oligonychus ununguis</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Armillaria root rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Wood rot fungus; Dryadeus root rot (<i>Inonotus dryadeus</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus robur</i>	English Oak	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.