

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for March 21st through April 3rd 2017

<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Chemical injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	High soluble salt (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	3	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Aphids; Plant lice (Family Aphididae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Foxglove aphid (<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus)	0	2	0	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	2	0	0
<i>Cornus sanguinea</i>	Bloodtwig Dogwood	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Cornus sanguinea</i>	Bloodtwig Dogwood	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cornus sanguinea</i>	Bloodtwig Dogwood	Unspecified pathology (<i>Diaporthe</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	Ginkgo	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	Ginkgo	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	Ginkgo	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	Ginkgo	Sooty mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pelargonium</i> sp./spp.	Geranium (cultivated)	Geranium bacterial wilt; Bacteria blight (<i>Xanthomonas hortorum (campestris) pv. pelargonii</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Pelargonium</i> sp./spp.	Geranium (cultivated)	High soluble salt (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pelargonium</i> sp./spp.	Geranium (cultivated)	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Flowering Cherry	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Flowering Cherry	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Flowering Cherry	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Salix nigra</i>	Black Willow	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Salix nigra</i>	Black Willow	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Salix nigra</i>	Black Willow	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Sorghum bicolor</i>	Sorghum	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tulipa</i> sp./spp.	Tulips	Corm and bulb rot (<i>Penicillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tulipa</i> sp./spp.	Tulips	Low pH damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tulipa</i> sp./spp.	Tulips	Tulip fire; Blight (<i>Botrytis tulipae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Viola x wittrockiana</i>	Pansies	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Viola x wittrockiana</i>	Pansies	Nutritional deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.