

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for June 20th through June 26th, 2017

Scientific Name	Common Name	Diagnosis	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Magnaporthe summer patch (<i>Magnaporthiopsis poae</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Unspecified pathology (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Insufficient sample (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Unidentified bacteria (Unidentified Bacteria)	1	0	0	0
<i>Amelanchier</i> sp./spp.	Serviceberry	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Amelanchier</i> sp./spp.	Serviceberry	Leaf scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Amelanchier</i> sp./spp.	Serviceberry	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> <i>acephala</i>	Kale	Bacterial soft rot (Unidentified Bacterium)	2	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> <i>acephala</i>	Kale	Cabbage maggot (<i>Delia radicum</i>)	0	0	2	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Brassica oleracea acephala</i>	Kale	Unspecified pathology (<i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea acephala</i>	Kale	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Winter injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Hop downy mildew (<i>Pseudoperonospora humuli</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Leafhoppers (Family Cicadellidae)	0	0	1	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus chinensis</i>	Chinese Juniper	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus chinensis</i>	Chinese Juniper	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus chinensis</i>	Chinese Juniper	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus chinensis</i>	Chinese Juniper	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus sylvestris</i>	Common Apple	Phytophthora canker (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Moluccella laevis</i>	Bells Of ireland	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Moluccella laevis</i>	Bells Of ireland	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	0	1	0	0
<i>Moluccella laevis</i>	Bells Of ireland	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies nidiformis</i>	Bird's Nest spruce	Mechanical damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies nidiformis</i>	Bird's Nest spruce	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies nidiformis</i>	Bird's Nest spruce	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Eastern spruce gall adelgid (<i>Adelges abietis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Unspecified pathology (<i>Stigmina</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Picea pungens	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Picea pungens	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White Pine	Dieback; Canker (<i>Diplodia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White Pine	Needle blight; Cast (<i>Bifusella linearis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White Pine	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Quaking Aspen	Scab (<i>Venturia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Anthracnose (<i>Apiognomonia</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Jumping oak gall wasp (<i>Neuroterus saltatorius</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Insufficient sample (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Triticum aestivum</i>	Winter Wheat	Stem blight anthracnose (<i>Colletotrichum cereale</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.