

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for July 5th through July 10th, 2017

<i>Abies balsamea phanerolepsis</i>	Canaan Fir	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies balsamea phanerolepsis</i>	Canaan Fir	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies balsamea phanerolepsis</i>	Canaan Fir	Wound canker (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River Birch	Armillaria root rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River Birch	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus pumila</i>	Paradise Apple	Apple scab (<i>Venturia inaequalis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus pumila</i>	Paradise Apple	Fire blight (<i>Erwinia amylovora</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus pumila</i>	Paradise Apple	Rust (<i>Gymnosporangium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Canker (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Rust (<i>Gymnosporangium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Pinus sp./spp.</i>	Pine	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus sp./spp.</i>	Pine	Tip blight (<i>Diplodia sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pyrus calleryana</i>	Callery Pear	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pyrus calleryana</i>	Callery Pear	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pyrus calleryana</i>	Callery Pear	Rust (<i>Gymnosporangium sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Herbicide injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Triticum aestivum</i>	Winter Wheat	Fusarium root rot (<i>Fusarium sp./spp.</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Triticum aestivum</i>	Winter Wheat	Rhizopus rot (<i>Rhizopus sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Triticum aestivum</i>	Winter Wheat	Unidentified bacteria (Unidentified Bacteria)	1	0	0	0
<i>Triticum aestivum</i>	Winter Wheat	Unspecified pathology (<i>Alternaria sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Triticum aestivum</i>	Winter Wheat	Yeast contamination (Yeast Contamination)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma sp./spp.</i>)	4	0	0	0
<i>Weigela sp./spp.</i>	Weigela	Insufficient sample (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zelkova sp./spp.</i>	Zelkova	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora sp./spp.</i>)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Zelkova</i> sp./spp.	Zelkova	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zelkova</i> sp./spp.	Zelkova	Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zelkova</i> sp./spp.	Zelkova	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.