

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for June 27th through July 3rd, 2017

<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Fertilizer injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Phyllosticta leaf spot (<i>Phyllosticta</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Pesticide toxicity (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	0	0	1	0
<i>Alnus</i> sp./spp.	Alder	Canker; Coral spot; Blight; Dieback (<i>Nectria cinnabarina</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Alnus</i> sp./spp.	Alder	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>	Cabbage	Physiological responses (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>	Cabbage	Potyvirus Group (<i>Potyvirus</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Potyvirus Group (<i>Potyvirus</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Refer'd to private testing lab (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Squash mosaic (SQMV) (Comovirus Squash Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Zucchini yellow mosaic (ZYMV) (Potyvirus Zucchini Yellow Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Fagus sp./spp.</i>	Beech	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagus sp./spp.</i>	Beech	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	European Beech	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	European Beech	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Animal damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Potyvirus Group (<i>Potyvirus</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Malus sp./spp.</i>	Crabapple	Cytospora canker; Dieback (<i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus sp./spp.</i>	Crabapple	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Winter injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Medicago sativa</i>	Alfalfa	Alfalfa snout beetle (<i>Otiorhynchus ligustici</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Medicago sativa</i>	Alfalfa	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Medicago sativa</i>	Alfalfa	Leptosphaerulina leaf spot (<i>Leptosphaerulina</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Medicago sativa</i>	Alfalfa	Rhizoctonia crown and stem rot (<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Poa ; agrostis annua and a. spp.</i>	Mix Annual bluegrass; bentgrass	Anthracnose basal rot; Crown rot (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Poa ; agrostis annua and a. spp.</i>	Mix Annual bluegrass; bentgrass	Dollar spot (<i>Sclerotinia homeocarpa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Pesticide toxicity (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rosa rugosa</i>	Rugosa Rose	Herbicide injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rosa rugosa</i>	Rugosa Rose	Referred to specialist (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i>	Rosemary	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i>	Rosemary	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i>	Rosemary	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	Raspberry	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	Raspberry	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	Raspberry	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Arborvitae needle blight (<i>Phyllosticta thujae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Dieback; Canker (<i>Seiridium sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Drought stress damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.