

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for July 25th through July 31st, 2017

Scientific Name	Common Name	Diagnosis	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Canker (<i>Stegonosporium pyriforme</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Discula anthracnose (<i>Discula</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Leafhoppers (Family Cicadellidae)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Discula anthracnose (<i>Discula</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Leafhoppers (Family Cicadellidae)	0	0	2	0
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>	Common Horsechestnut	Leaf blotch (<i>Phyllosticta sphaeropsoidea</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Stemphylium leaf blight (<i>Stemphylium vesicarium</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	4	0	0
<i>Amelanchier</i> sp./spp.	Serviceberry	Cedar-quince rust (<i>Gymnosporangium clavipes</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Common Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Carya</i> sp./spp.	Hickory	Refer'd to private testing lab (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Carya</i> sp./spp.	Hickory	Walnut downy leaf spot (<i>Microstroma juglandis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus) [4]	0	1	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Impatiens necrotic spot (INSV) (Tospovirus Impatiens Necrotic Spot Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Echinacea purpurea</i>	Echinacea Coneflower	Aster yellows Phytoplasma (<i>Candidatus Phytoplasma asteris</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Equus caballus</i>	Horse	Hoary Alyssum (<i>Berteroa incana</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Hamamelis virginiana</i>	American Witchhazel	Phyllosticta leaf spot (<i>Phyllosticta hamamelidis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Hemerocallis</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Daylily	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Hemerocallis</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Daylily	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Impatiens hawkeri</i>	New Guinea impatiens	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Impatiens hawkeri</i>	New Guinea impatiens	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Early blight; Leaf spot (<i>Alternaria solani</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Septoria leaf spot (<i>Septoria lycopersici</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Pinus resinosa</i>	Red Pine	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus resinosa</i>	Red Pine	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus resinosa</i>	Red Pine	Pine needleminer (<i>Exoteleia pinifoliella</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	White pine blister rust (<i>Cronartium ribicola</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Poa; agrostis pratensis; stolonifera</i>	Mixture; Kentucky bluegrass; bentgrass	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Poa; agrostis pratensis; stolonifera</i>	Mixture; Kentucky bluegrass; bentgrass	Pythium root dysfunction (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pyrus calleryana</i>	Callery Pear	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pyrus calleryana</i>	Callery Pear	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Wood rot fungus (<i>Fomitopsis spraguei</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Armillaria root rot; Butt rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Eastern Hemlock	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Eastern Hemlock	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Brown patch (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Leaf spot (<i>Bipolaris sorokiniana</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.