

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

**Time Period Report for August 8<sup>th</sup> through August 14<sup>th</sup>, 2017**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Diagnosis	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Balsam twig aphid ( <i>Mindarus pinicola</i> ( <i>abietinus</i> ))	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Begonia</i> sp./spp.	Begonia	Pythium root and/or crown rot ( <i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Begonia</i> sp./spp.	Begonia	Rhizoctonia stem rot ( <i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea acephala</i>	Kale	Bacterial blight (Unidentified Bacteria)	0	0	1	0
<i>Brassica oleracea acephala</i>	Kale	Pythium damping off ( <i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>	Cabbage	White mold ( <i>Sclerotinia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight ( <i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i> )	1	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Excessive mulch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Macrophoma blight; Dieback ( <i>Macrophoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback ( <i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Caragana</i> sp./spp.	Pea Shrub; pea tree	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight ( <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Caragana</i> sp./spp.	Pea Shrub; pea tree	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Caragana</i> sp./spp.	Pea Shrub; pea tree	Septoria leaf spot ( <i>Septoria</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Caragana</i> sp./spp.	Pea Shrub; pea tree	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Caryopteris clandonensis</i>	Longwood Bluebeard	Crown rot; Root rot; Stem rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Caryopteris clandonensis</i>	Longwood Bluebeard	Drainage problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Caryopteris clandonensis</i>	Longwood Bluebeard	Root girdling (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Gaillardia x grandiflora</i>	Grandiflora Blanket flower	White smut ( <i>Entyloma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Hemerocallis</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Daylily	Daylily rust ( <i>Puccinia hemerocallidis</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Privet	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight ( <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Privet	Refer'd to private testing lab (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Ligustrum sp./spp.</i>	Privet	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Phomopsis sp./spp.</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus sylvestris</i>	Common Apple	Stem canker ( <i>Phytophthora sp./spp.</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Cytospora canker; Dieback ( <i>Cytospora sp./spp.</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Stigmina needle blight ( <i>Stigmina lautii</i> )	2	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Rhizosphaera sp./spp.</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Sambucus sp./spp.</i>	Elderberry	Excessive mulch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Sambucus sp./spp.</i>	Elderberry	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Sambucus sp./spp.</i>	Elderberry	Root rot ( <i>Thielaviopsis sp./spp.</i> )	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.