

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

**Time Period Report for August 1<sup>st</sup> through August 7<sup>th</sup>, 2017**

<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Herbicide injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer negundo</i>	Boxelder	Insufficient sample (Identification Analysis)	2	0	0	0
<i>Acer negundo</i>	Boxelder	Anthraxnose ( <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer negundo</i>	Boxelder	Potato leafhopper ( <i>Empoasca fabae</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Valsa canker ( <i>Valsa</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode ( <i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Amelanchier</i> sp./spp.	Serviceberry	Fire blight ( <i>Erwinia amylovora</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Amelanchier</i> sp./spp.	Serviceberry	Cedar-quince rust ( <i>Gymnosporangium clavipes</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight ( <i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i> )	1	8	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood mite ( <i>Eurytetranychus buxi</i> )	3	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Colletotrichum stem decay ( <i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	3	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Macrophoma leaf spot ( <i>Macrophoma</i> sp./spp.)	6	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	3	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Transplant shock; Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	5	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback ( <i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	6	0	0	0
<i>Catalpa</i> sp./spp.	Catalpa	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Catalpa</i> sp./spp.	Catalpa	Verticillium wilt ( <i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Fusarium wilt ( <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f.sp. <i>chrysanthemi</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Impatiens necrotic spot (INSV) (Tospovirus Impatiens Necrotic Spot Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp.	Pumpkin	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp.	Pumpkin	Cucurbit bacterial wilt ( <i>Erwinia tracheiphila</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Dahlia</i> sp./spp.	Dahlia	Bacterial soft rot (Unidentified Bacterium)	1	0	0	0
<i>Dahlia</i> sp./spp.	Dahlia	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Dahlia</i> sp./spp.	Dahlia	Pythium root and/or crown rot ( <i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Eruca sativa</i>	Arugula	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Eruca sativa</i>	Arugula	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Eruca sativa</i>	Arugula	Refer'd to private testing lab (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	1	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lavandula</i> sp./spp.	Lavender	Black root rot ( <i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Lavandula</i> sp./spp.	Lavender	Crown rot; Root rot; Stem rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Lavandula</i> sp./spp.	Lavender	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Late blight ( <i>Phytophthora infestans</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Potyvirus Group ( <i>Potyvirus</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Maackia amurensis</i>	Amur Maackia	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Maackia amurensis</i>	Amur Maackia	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Maackia amurensis</i>	Amur Maackia	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Frogeye leaf spot; Black rot ( <i>Botryosphaeria obtusa</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Apple scab ( <i>Venturia inaequalis</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus sylvestris</i>	Common Apple	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

# Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

## Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Malus sylvestris</i>	Common Apple	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus sylvestris</i>	Common Apple	Rust ( <i>Gymnosporangium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus sylvestris</i>	Common Apple	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus persica</i>	Peach	Insufficient sample (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus persica nectarina</i>	Nectarine	Brown rot ( <i>Monilia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Cherry	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Cherry	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Cherry	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp.	Azalea; Rhododendron	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp.	Azalea; Rhododendron	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhus aromatica</i>	Fragrant Sumac	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhus aromatica</i>	Fragrant Sumac	Powdery mildew ( <i>Oidium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhus aromatica</i>	Fragrant Sumac	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rubus</i> sp./spp.	Raspberry	Spot anthracnose ( <i>Elsinoe veneta</i> )	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Late blight ( <i>Phytophthora infestans</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Syringa vulgaris</i>	Common Lilac	Blight ( <i>Ascochyta syringae</i> )	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.