

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for September 5th through September 18th, 2017

Scientific Name	Common Name	Diagnosis	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Spiral nematodes (<i>Helicotylenchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Stunt nematodes (<i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Garlic Botrytis rot (<i>Botrytis porri</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Bulb mite (<i>Rhizoglyphus</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Corm and bulb rot (<i>Penicillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Skin blotch (<i>Alternaria embellisia</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	7	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>	Cabbage	Crucifer bacterial black rot (<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Tomato; Pepper bacterial spot (<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv. <i>vesicatoria</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Castanea dentata</i> <i>X mollissima</i>	Chestnut	Chestnut blight (<i>Cryphonectria parasitica</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Castanea dentata</i> <i>X mollissima</i>	Chestnut	Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Chrysanthemum white rust (<i>Puccinia horiana</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Hydrangea</i> sp./spp.	Hydrangea	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Hydrangea</i> sp./spp.	Hydrangea	Powdery mildew (<i>Oidium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Hydrangea</i> sp./spp.	Hydrangea	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Leaf spot (<i>Marssonina</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Mandevilla</i> sp./spp.	Mandevilla	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Mandevilla</i> sp./spp.	Mandevilla	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Mandevilla</i> sp./spp.	Mandevilla	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Myrica pensylvanica</i>	Northern Bayberry; wax myrtle	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Myrica pensylvanica</i>	Northern Bayberry; wax myrtle	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Paeonia</i> sp./spp.	Peony	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Paeonia</i> sp./spp.	Peony	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Planting too deep (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Armillaria root rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Rhus aromatica</i>	Fragrant Sumac	Armillaria root rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Rhus aromatica</i>	Fragrant Sumac	Fusarium wilt (<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga sp./spp.</i>	Hemlock	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga sp./spp.</i>	Hemlock	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga sp./spp.</i>	Hemlock	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga sp./spp.</i>	Hemlock	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tulipa sp./spp.</i>	Tulips	Fusarium basal rot (<i>Fusarium sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vitis sp./spp.</i>	Grape	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Vitis sp./spp.</i>	Grape	Referred to specialist (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Common corn rust (<i>Puccinia sorghi</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Northern corn leaf spot (<i>Bipolaris zeicola</i>)	2	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.