

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for October 15th through October 29th, 2018

<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Phyllosticta leaf spot (<i>Phyllosticta</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Transplant shock; Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	5	0	0
<i>Brassica chinensis</i> var. <i>chinensis</i>	Bok Choy; chinese cabbage	Bacterial blight (Unidentified Bacteria)	0	0	1	0
<i>Brassica chinensis</i> var. <i>chinensis</i>	Bok Choy; chinese cabbage	Crown and stem rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Leaf blight (<i>Volutella buxi</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i> <i>suffruticosa</i>	Edging Boxwood	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i> <i>suffruticosa</i>	Edging Boxwood	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Buxus sempervirens suffruticosa</i>	Edging Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	2	2	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood leafminer (<i>Monarthropalpus flavus</i> (buxi))	2	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Macrophoma leaf spot (<i>Dothiorella candollei</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood mite (<i>Eurytetranychus buxi</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Colletotrichum stem decay (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	3	0	0	0
<i>Carex lupulina</i>	Hop Sedge	Crown and stem rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Carex lupulina</i>	Hop Sedge	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Carex lupulina</i>	Hop Sedge	Rhizoctonia crown and stem rot (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Carex lupulina</i>	Hop Sedge	Spiral nematodes (<i>Helicotylenchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Carex lupulina</i>	Hop Sedge	Unspecified pathology (<i>Gaeumannomyces</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Carex</i> sp./spp.	Sedges	Crown and stem rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Carex</i> sp./spp.	Sedges	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Carex</i> sp./spp.	Sedges	Rhizoctonia crown and stem rot (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Carex</i> sp./spp.	Sedges	Spiral nematodes (<i>Helicotylenchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Carex</i> sp./spp.	Sedges	Unspecified pathology (<i>Gaeumannomyces</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cedrus deodara</i>	Deodar Cedar	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cedrus deodara</i>	Deodar Cedar	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cornus alternifolia</i>	Pagoda Dogwood	Phytophthora root and crown rot (<i>Phytophthora cactorum</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cornus alternifolia</i>	Pagoda Dogwood	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucurbita maxima</i>	Autumn-winter Squash	Cucurbit gummy stem blight (<i>Stagonosporopsis curcubitacearum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucurbita maxima</i>	Autumn-winter Squash	Phytophthora fruit rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cucurbita maxima</i>	Autumn-winter Squash	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	European Beech	Phytophthora canker (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Ficus sp./spp.</i>	Fig (ornamental)	Brown soft scale (<i>Coccus hesperidum</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ficus sp./spp.</i>	Fig (ornamental)	Sooty mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Soybean cyst nematode (<i>Heterodera glycines</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Hop downy mildew (<i>Pseudoperonospora humuli</i>)	0	6	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	6	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Powdery mildew (<i>Podosphaera macularis</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black Walnut	Thousand cankers disease (<i>Geosmithia morbida</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i>	Crape Myrtle	Cercospora leaf spot (<i>Cercospora sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ligustrum sp./spp.</i>	Privet	Foliar nematodes (Family Aphelenchoididae)	0	2	0	0
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria sp./spp.</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Leaf spot (<i>Marssonina sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus avium</i>	Sweet Cherry; mazzard cherry	Cercospora leaf spot (<i>Cercospora sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Prunus maritima</i>	Beach Plum	Scab (<i>Venturia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Cytospora canker; Dieback (<i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Gall (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Anthracnose (<i>Apiognomonina errabunda</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Bacterial wetwood; Slime flux (Various Pathogens)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Phytophthora canker (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Transplant shock; Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus coccinea</i>	Scarlet Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	0	10	0	0
<i>Quercus coccinea</i>	Scarlet Oak	Leaf scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	10	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	7	1	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Discula anthracnose (<i>Discula</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Leaf spot (<i>Tubakia dryina</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Leaf spot (<i>Tubakia dryina</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Squirrel damage (Vertebrate Damage)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp.</i>	Oak	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp.</i>	Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp.</i>	Oak	Phytophthora canker (<i>Phytophthora sp./spp.</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp.</i>	Oak	Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Sambucus sp./spp.</i>	Elderberry	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Sambucus sp./spp.</i>	Elderberry	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Turfgrass mixed species</i>	Turfgrass	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Turfgrass mixed species</i>	Turfgrass	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	0	2	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Wood boring beetles (Order Coleoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Viburnum prunifolium</i>	Blackhaw	Powdery mildew (<i>Erysiphe</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.