Host | Scientific Name | Common Name | Diagnosis | Time Period Report for February 27th through March 12th 2018
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
| Buxus sp./spp. | Boxwood | Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (*Calonectria pseudonaviculata*) | Confirmed | 1 0 0 0

| C. sp./spp. | Cockscomb; Celosia | No pathogen found (Identification Analysis) | Confirmed | 1 0 0 0

| C. sp./spp. | Cockscomb; Celosia | Phytotoxicity (Abiotic disorder) | Confirmed | 0 0 1 0

| *Clerodendrum thomsoniae* | Bleeding Glory-Bower | Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder) | Confirmed | 0 0 1 0

| *Clerodendrum thomsoniae* | Bleeding Glory-Bower | Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder) | Confirmed | 1 0 0 0

| *Cornus florida* | Flowering Dogwood | Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis) | Confirmed | 1 0 0 0

| *Cornus florida* | Flowering Dogwood | Dogwood anthracnose (*Discula destructiva*) | Confirmed | 0 0 1 0

| *Malus sp./spp.* | Crabapple | Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis) | Confirmed | 1 0 0 0

| *Tsuga canadensis* | Eastern Hemlock | Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis) | Confirmed | 1 0 0 0

| *Tsuga canadensis* | Eastern Hemlock | Root damage (Abiotic disorder) | Confirmed | 0 0 1 0

**Confirmed** - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

**Not Detected** - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

**Suspected** - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

**Inconclusive** - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.