

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for August 14th through August 20th 2018

Time Period Report for August 14 th through August 20 th 2018						
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	0	1
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Wound canker (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	1	3	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood mite (<i>Eurytetranychus buxi</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Volutella blight; Canker (<i>Volutella buxi</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Leaf blight (<i>Volutella buxi</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Mechanical damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria</i> sp./spp.	Cryptomeria	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria</i> sp./spp.	Cryptomeria	Pestalotiopsis needle blight; Tip blight (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Cryptomeria</i> sp./spp.	Cryptomeria	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Charcoal rot (<i>Macrophomina</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Hosta</i> sp./spp.	Hosta	Hosta virus X (HVX) (Potexvirus Hosta Virus X)	2	0	0	0
<i>Nyssa</i> sp./spp.	Tupelo; gum	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Nyssa</i> sp./spp.	Tupelo; gum	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Rhizosphaera needle cast (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Stigmata needle blight (<i>Stigmata lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Quaking Aspen	Leaf spot (<i>Marssonina</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Quaking Aspen	Sooty mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rosa carolina</i>	Pasture Rose	Black spot (Rose) (<i>Diplocarpon rosae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rosa carolina</i>	Pasture Rose	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Syringa</i> sp./spp.	Lilac	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Syringa</i> sp./spp.	Lilac	Nutritional deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Syringa</i> sp./spp.	Lilac	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Arborvitae needle blight (<i>Phyllosticta thujae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Winter injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Environmental stress; Problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Pythium blight; Cottony blight (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.