

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for January 22nd through February 4th 2018

<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Intumescence (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Leaf mold (<i>Passalora fulva</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0
<i>Dioscorea</i> sp./spp.	Tropical Yam; air potato	Tuber rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Leaf mold (<i>Passalora fulva</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Nutritional pathology (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pyracantha</i> sp./spp.	Firethorn	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pyracantha</i> sp./spp.	Firethorn	Phomopsis dieback; Tip blight; Canker (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pyracantha</i> sp./spp.	Firethorn	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Rhododendron simsii formosa</i>	Formosa Azalea	Eriophyid mites (Family Eriophyidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhododendron simsii formosa</i>	Formosa Azalea	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhododendron simsii formosa</i>	Formosa Azalea	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Spinacia oleracea</i>	Spinach	Stem rot; Southern blight (<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.