

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

**Time Period Report for May 14<sup>th</sup> through May 20<sup>th</sup> 2019**

<i>Abies balsamea</i>	Balsam Fir	Balsam twig aphid ( <i>Mindarus pinicola (abietinus)</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies balsamea</i>	Balsam Fir	Lichens (Lichenes)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies balsamea</i>	Balsam Fir	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies balsamea</i>	Balsam Fir	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies balsamea</i>	Balsam Fir	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Freeze; Frost; Cold damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Antirrhinum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Snapdragon	Pythium root and/or crown rot ( <i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight ( <i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i> )	1	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Mechanical damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Sooty mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback ( <i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Eruca sativa</i>	Arugula	Rhizoctonia stem and root rot ( <i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Paeonia</i> sp./spp.	Peony	Phytophthora dieback; Blight ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pelargonium x hortorum</i>	House Geranium	Bacterial wilt ( <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Pelargonium x hortorum</i>	House Geranium	Nutritional pathology (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Cytospora canker; Dieback ( <i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Spruce spider mite ( <i>Oligonychus ununguis</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Stigmata needle blight ( <i>Stigmata lautii</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	4	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	3	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Rhizosphaera needle cast ( <i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Spruce spider mite ( <i>Oligonychus ununguis</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Stigmata needle blight ( <i>Stigmata lautii</i> )	2	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Weir's cushion rust ( <i>Chrysomyxa weirii</i> )	3	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Winter injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	6	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Wood rot; White rot ( <i>Irpex lacteus</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea sp./spp.</i>	Spruce	Dothistroma needle blight ( <i>Dothistroma sp./spp.</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea sp./spp.</i>	Spruce	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea sp./spp.</i>	Spruce	Spruce spider mite ( <i>Oligonychus ununguis</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea sp./spp.</i>	Spruce	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Swiss needle cast ( <i>Phaeocryptopus gaeumannii</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak	Armillaria root rot ( <i>Armillaria sp./spp.</i> )	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak	Root rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Armillaria root rot ( <i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Root rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp. /spp.	Rhododendron	Crown rot; Root rot; Stem rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp. /spp.	Rhododendron	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp. /spp.	Rhododendron	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rubus</i> sp./spp.	Raspberry	Crown and root rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.