

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for January 8th through January 20th 2020

<i>Brassica</i> sp./spp.	Cole Crops	Pythium root and/or crown rot (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Brassica</i> sp./spp.	Cole Crops	Seed rot; Damping off; Seedling blight (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Brassica</i> sp./spp.	Cole Crops	Unidentified bacteria (Unidentified Bacteria)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Boxwood leafminer (<i>Monarthropalpus flavus (buxi)</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Winter injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Nasturtium officinale</i>	Watercress	Pythium root and/or crown rot (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Nasturtium officinale</i>	Watercress	Rhizoctonia stem and root rot (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Nasturtium officinale</i>	Watercress	Unidentified bacteria (Unidentified Bacteria)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Pine wilt nematode (Pinewood) (<i>Bursaphelenchus xylophilus</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus taeda</i>	Loblolly Pine	Pine wilt nematode (Pinewood) (<i>Bursaphelenchus xylophilus</i>)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Pinus taeda</i>	Loblolly Pine	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus taeda</i>	Loblolly Pine	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Wood rot fungus; Dryadeus root rot (<i>Inonotus dryadeus</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.