

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for June 30th through July 13th 2020

<i>Aster</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Asters	Heat and water stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	0	0
<i>Aster</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Asters	Unspecified pathology (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Aster</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Asters	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	2	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood leafminer (<i>Monarthropalpus flavus (buxi)</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Winter injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Chamaecyparis</i> sp./spp.	Falsecypress	Dieback; Canker (<i>Seiridium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Chamaecyparis</i> sp./spp.	Falsecypress	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	White mold (Stem rot) (<i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Leaf Spot (<i>Alternaria alternata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Ulocladium leaf spot (<i>Ulocladium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Unspecified pathology (<i>Cladosporium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Ligustrum; Privet	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Ligustrum; Privet	Privet thrips (<i>Dendrothrips ornatus</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Tulip Tree	Fusarium canker (<i>Fusarium solani</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Tulip Tree	Phytophthora canker (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Tulip Tree	Unidentified insect (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Tulip Tree	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Apple anthracnose; Bull's eye (<i>Neofabraea malicorticis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Wound canker (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pachysandra</i> sp./spp.	Pachysandra	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Pachysandra</i> sp./spp.	Pachysandra	Leaf and stem blight (<i>Volutella pachysandrae</i>)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Pachysandra</i> sp./spp.	Pachysandra	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pachysandra</i> sp./spp.	Pachysandra	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pachysandra</i> sp./spp.	Pachysandra	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus armeniaca</i>	Apricot	Dieback; Twig blight; Canker (<i>Botryosphaeria obtusa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus armeniaca</i>	Apricot	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Bot Canker (<i>Diplodia corticola</i>)	0	4	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Botryosphaeria canker; Dieback (<i>Diplodia mutila</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Crown rot; Root rot; Stem rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Oak leaf blister (<i>Taphrina caerulescens</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Oak twig blight (<i>Coryneum kunzei</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	2	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp. red</i>	Red Oaks	Jelly fungus (<i>Tremella sp./spp.</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp. red</i>	Red Oaks	Mechanical damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp. red</i>	Red Oaks	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rubus sp./spp.</i>	Raspberry	Cane blight; Canker (<i>Paraconiothyrium fuckelii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Dieback; Canker (<i>Seiridium sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Unspecified pathology (<i>Pestalotiopsis sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma sp./spp.</i>)	5	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus sp./spp.</i>	Elm	Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus sp./spp.</i>	Elm	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium sp./spp.</i>)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.