

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

<b>Time Period Report for July 14<sup>th</sup> through July 27<sup>th</sup> 2020</b>						
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Stemphylium leaf blight ( <i>Stemphylium vesicarium</i> )	2	0	0	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode ( <i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i> )	1	1	0	0
<i>Callistephus chinensis</i>	China Aster	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Callistephus chinensis</i>	China Aster	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Callistephus chinensis</i>	China Aster	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Callistephus chinensis</i>	China Aster	Thrips damage (Unidentified Thrips)	1	0	0	0
<i>Capsicum annuum</i>	Pepper	Bacterial canker ( <i>Clavibacter michiganensis michiganensis</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Capsicum annuum</i>	Pepper	Bacterial wilt ( <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Castanea dentata</i>	American Chestnut	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Castanea dentata</i>	American Chestnut	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Cornus kousa</i>	Japanese Dogwood; kousa	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cornus kousa</i>	Japanese Dogwood; kousa	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cornus kousa</i>	Japanese Dogwood; kousa	Planting too deep (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Gaultheria procumbens</i>	Wintergreen	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Gaultheria procumbens</i>	Wintergreen	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Cladosporium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Soybean Phytophthora root and stem rot ( <i>Phytophthora sojae</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Helianthus tuberosus</i>	Jerusalem-artichoke	Septoria leaf blight ( <i>Septoria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Helleborus</i> sp./spp.	Hellebore	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Helleborus</i> sp./spp.	Hellebore	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

# Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

# Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Juniperus chinensis sargentii</i>	Sargent Juniper	Cercospora blight ( <i>Cercospora sequoiae</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Juniperus chinensis sargentii</i>	Sargent Juniper	Drainage problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus chinensis sargentii</i>	Sargent Juniper	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus virginiana</i>	Eastern Red cedar	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus virginiana</i>	Eastern Red cedar	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus virginiana</i>	Eastern Red cedar	Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus virginiana</i>	Eastern Red cedar	Woodpeckers (Family Picidae)	0	0	1	0
<i>Laurentia fluviatilis</i>	Blue Star creeper	Stem rot; Southern blight ( <i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Lilium</i> sp./spp.	Lily	Ethylene exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lilium</i> sp./spp.	Lily	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Cladosporium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Septoria leaf blight ( <i>Septoria lycopersici</i> )	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Magnolia</i> sp./spp.	Magnolia	Freeze; Frost; Cold damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Magnolia</i> sp./spp.	Magnolia	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Magnolia</i> sp./spp.	Magnolia	Tatters (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Paeonia</i> sp./spp.	Peony	Peony leaf blotch ( <i>Cladosporium paeoniae</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Paeonia</i> sp./spp.	Peony	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens fastigiata</i>	Colorado Blue spruce	Spruce bud scale ( <i>Physokermes</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens fastigiata</i>	Colorado Blue spruce	Stigmata needle blight ( <i>Stigmata lautii</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens fastigiata</i>	Colorado Blue spruce	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus serrulata</i>	Japanese Flowering cherry	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Prunus serrulata</i>	Japanese Flowering cherry	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Salix babylonica</i>	Babylonian Weeping willow	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Salix babylonica</i>	Babylonian Weeping willow	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Salix integra</i>	Dappled Willow	Leaf scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Salix integra</i>	Dappled Willow	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Tobamovirus Group (Tobamovirus Group)	0	0	1	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Verticillium wilt ( <i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Excessive mulch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vaccinium sp./spp.</i>	Blueberry	Tobacco ringspot (TRSV) (Nepovirus Tobacco Ringspot Virus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	European Grape	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight ( <i>Botryosphaeria sp./spp.</i> )	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.