

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

<i>Time Period Report for September 28th through October 11th, 2021</i>						
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	Ants (Family Formicidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Eriophyid mite (<i>Aceria tulipae</i>)	0	0	2	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Fusarium dry rot; Bulb rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	8	0	0
<i>Betula</i> sp./spp.	Birch	Cryptocline leaf spot (<i>Cryptocline betularum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Betula</i> sp./spp.	Birch	Gall-forming aphid (<i>Hormaphis hamamelidis</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>	Cabbage	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>	Cabbage	Unspecified pathology (<i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>	Cabbage	Unspecified pathology (<i>Stemphylium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cornus florida</i>	Flowering Dogwood	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Cornus florida</i>	Flowering Dogwood	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucurbita pepo</i>	Spaghetti Squash	Bacterial soft rot (Unidentified Bacteria)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cucurbita pepo</i>	Spaghetti Squash	Bacterial spot (<i>Xanthomonas cucurbitae</i> (<i>campestris</i>) (pv. <i>cucurbitae</i>))	2	0	0	0
<i>Cucurbita pepo</i>	Spaghetti Squash	Cucurbit angular leaf spot (<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>lachrymans</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Eragrostis spectabilis</i>	Purple Lovegrass	Sooty mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Eragrostis spectabilis</i>	Purple Lovegrass	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Eruca vesicaria sativa</i>	Arugula	Damping off (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	2	0
<i>Eruca vesicaria sativa</i>	Arugula	Rhizoctonia damping off (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Medicago sativa</i>	Alfalfa	Alfalfa anthracnose (<i>Colletotrichum trifolii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Medicago sativa</i>	Alfalfa	Clover root Curculio (<i>Sitona hispidulus</i> (<i>hispidula</i>))	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Cytospora canker; Dieback (<i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Lichens (Lichenes)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Pine needle scale (<i>Chionaspis pinifoliae</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Sooty mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Spruce needleminers (<i>Endothenia; Epinotia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Spruce spider mite (<i>Oligonychus ununguis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Stigmata needle blight (<i>Stigmata lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Scots Pine	Brown spot; Needle blight (<i>Lecanosticta acicola</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Populus</i> sp./spp.	Poplar (hybrids)	Septoria canker; Leaf spot (<i>Mycosphaerella populorum</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Flowering Cherry	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus bicolor</i>	Swamp White oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	2	3	0	0
<i>Quercus bicolor</i>	Swamp White oak	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Quercus bicolor</i>	Swamp White oak	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus bicolor</i>	Swamp White oak	Leaf spot (<i>Tubakia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus bicolor</i>	Swamp White oak	Oak shothole leafminer (<i>Agromyza viridula</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus bicolor</i>	Swamp White oak	Oak twig blight (<i>Coryneum kunzei</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus bicolor</i>	Swamp White oak	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	2	0	0	0
<i>Quercus macrocarpa</i>	Bur Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	12	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Cynipid gall wasp (<i>Andricus</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Nutritional deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Oak shothole leafminer (<i>Agromyza viridula</i>)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Insufficient sample (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Insect feeding damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Phomopsis blight (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Raphanus</i> sp./spp.	Radish	Bacterial leaf spot (Unidentified Bacteria)	0	0	1	0
<i>Saccharum officinarum</i>	Sugarcane	Unidentified bacteria (Unidentified Bacteria)	3	0	0	0
<i>Saccharum officinarum</i>	Sugarcane	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Salix</i> sp./spp.	Willow	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Salix</i> sp./spp.	Willow	Willow black canker (<i>Glomerella miyabeana</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Sedum</i> sp./spp.	Stonecrop	Insect feeding damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Sedum</i> sp./spp.	Stonecrop	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Fusarium rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tilia americana</i>	American (linden) basswood	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Tilia americana</i>	American (linden) basswood	Leaf scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Triticum spelta</i>	Spelt	Dwarf bunt (<i>Tilletia controversa</i>)	0	2	0	0
<i>Triticum spelta</i>	Spelt	Flag smut (<i>Urocystis agropyri</i>)	0	2	0	0
Woody Ornamentals	Woody Ornamentals	Brown felt (<i>Septobasidium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Woody Ornamentals	Woody Ornamentals	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
Woody Ornamentals	Woody Ornamentals	Thyronectria canker (<i>Thyronectria austroamericana</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Anthrachnose stalk rot (<i>Colletotrichum graminicola</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Northern corn leaf blight; Leaf spot (<i>Exserohilum turcicum</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.