

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

**Time Period Report for October 12<sup>th</sup> through October 25<sup>th</sup>, 2021**

<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i>	Okra	Crown and stem rot ( <i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i>	Okra	White mold ( <i>Sclerotinia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies balsamea phanerolepsis</i>	Canaan Fir	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies balsamea phanerolepsis</i>	Canaan Fir	Nutritional deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies balsamea phanerolepsis</i>	Canaan Fir	Root problem; root damage (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies balsamea phanerolepsis</i>	Canaan Fir	Spruce spider mite ( <i>Oligonychus ununguis</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	2	0	0	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Nutritional deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Root problem; root damage (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	2	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Spruce spider mite ( <i>Oligonychus ununguis</i> )	2	0	0	0
<i>Abies koreana</i>	Korean Fir	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies koreana</i>	Korean Fir	Nutritional deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

# Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

# Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Abies koreana</i>	Korean Fir	Root problem; root damage (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies sp./spp.</i>	Fir	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies sp./spp.</i>	Fir	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Phomopsis sp./spp.</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Eriophyid mite ( <i>Aceria tulipae</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Eriophyid mites (Family Eriophyidae)	4	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Insect feeding damage (Unidentified Insect)	4	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Physiological responses (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode ( <i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i> )	0	4	0	0
<i>Anethum graveolens</i>	Dill	Chocolate tube slime mold ( <i>Stemonitis sp./spp.</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Anethum graveolens</i>	Dill	Mold; Mildew ( <i>Penicillium sp./spp.</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Anethum graveolens</i>	Dill	Mold; Mildew ( <i>Trichoderma sp./spp.</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Anethum graveolens</i>	Dill	Powdery mildew ( <i>Erysiphe sp./spp.</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sp./spp.</i>	Boxwood	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Nutritional pathology (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Insect feeding damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp.	Pumpkin	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp.	Pumpkin	Unidentified virus (Unidentified Virus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Dahlia</i> sp./spp.	Dahlia	Powdery mildew ( <i>Oidium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Dahlia</i> sp./spp.	Dahlia	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Dahlia</i> sp./spp.	Dahlia	Tomato spotted wilt (Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus (TSWV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Eruca vesicaria sativa</i>	Arugula	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Eruca vesicaria sativa</i>	Arugula	Mold; Mildew ( <i>Penicillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Eruca vesicaria sativa</i>	Arugula	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Mucor</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

# Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

# Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Eruca vesicaria sativa</i>	Arugula	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Rhizopus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Euphorbia pulcherrima</i>	Poinsettia	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Euphorbia pulcherrima</i>	Poinsettia	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Helichrysum bracteatum</i>	Strawflower	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Helichrysum bracteatum</i>	Strawflower	Nutritional pathology (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lisianthus</i> sp./spp.	Lisianthus	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lisianthus</i> sp./spp.	Lisianthus	Nutritional pathology (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea meyeri</i>	Meyer Spruce	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea meyeri</i>	Meyer Spruce	Nutritional deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea meyeri</i>	Meyer Spruce	Root problem; root damage (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Cytospora canker; Dieback ( <i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Spruce spider mite ( <i>Oligonychus ununguis</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Stigmima needle blight ( <i>Stigmima lautii</i> )	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

# Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

# Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus resinosa</i>	Red Pine	Brown spot; Needle blight ( <i>Lecanosticta acicola</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Scots pine	Diplodia tip blight; Canker ( <i>Sphaeropsis sapinea</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Scots pine	Eriophyid mites (Family Eriophyidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus taeda</i>	Loblolly Pine	Lophodermium leaf spot; Needle cast ( <i>Lophodermium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Prunus	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Prunus	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus coccinea</i>	Scarlet Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch ( <i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> )	2	1	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch ( <i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> )	7	0	0	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Late blight ( <i>Phytophthora infestans</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Physiological responses (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Spinacia oleracea</i>	Spinach	Cercospora beet leaf spot ( <i>Cercospora beticola</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Stewartia pseudocamellia</i>	Japanese Stewartia	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Stewartia pseudocamellia</i>	Japanese Stewartia	Scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus glabra camperdownii</i>	Camperdown Elm	Elm lace bug ( <i>Corythucha ulmi</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus glabra camperdownii</i>	Camperdown Elm	Leaf spot ( <i>Phloeospora ulmi</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp./spp.	Blueberry	Crown and root rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp./spp.	Blueberry	Planting too deep (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zinnia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Zinnia	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zinnia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Zinnia	Nutritional pathology (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.