

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for November 9th through November 22nd, 2021

<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Needle blight (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Asplenium nidus</i>	Bird's Nest fern	Verticillium dieback (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Brassica rapa rosularis</i>	Tatsoi	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Brassica rapa rosularis</i>	Tatsoi	Chemical injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood leafminer (<i>Monarthropalpus flavus (buxi)</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood mite (<i>Eurytetranychus buxi</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Hemp	Hemp downy mildew (<i>Pseudoperonospora cannabina</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Hemp	Septoria leaf spot (<i>Septoria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Hemp	Unspecified pathology (<i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Hemp	Unspecified pathology (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Cunninghamia lanceolata</i>	China Fir	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cunninghamia lanceolata</i>	China Fir	Root problem; root damage (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Fagopyrum esculentum convolulus</i>	Common Buckwheat	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagopyrum esculentum convolulus</i>	Common Buckwheat	Unspecified pathology (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ficus pumila</i>	Creeping Fig; climbing fig	Anthrachnose (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ficus pumila</i>	Creeping Fig; climbing fig	Unspecified pathology (<i>Myrothecium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Hibiscus</i> sp./spp.	Hibiscus	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Hibiscus</i> sp./spp.	Hibiscus	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Privet	Alternaria leaf spot (<i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Magnolia macrophylla</i>	Bigleaf Magnolia	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Magnolia macrophylla</i>	Bigleaf Magnolia	Root problem; root damage (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Cytospora canker; Dieback (<i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Wood boring beetles (Order Coleoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Scots Pine	Brown spot; Needle blight (<i>Lecanosticta acicola</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Scots Pine	Diplodia tip blight; Canker (<i>Diplodia sapinea</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Scots Pine	Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
Potting Soil; growing media	Potting Soil; growing media	Actinomycete (Order Actinomycetales)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Ustulina trunk rot; Carbon cushion (<i>Kretzschmaria deusta</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp.	Azalea; Rhododendron	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp.	Azalea; Rhododendron	Root problem; root damage (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Arborvitae needle blight (<i>Phyllosticta thujae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Dieback; Canker (<i>Diplodia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Pestalotiopsis needle blight; Tip blight (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Root problem; root damage (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	2	0
<i>Thuja standishii</i> x <i>plicata</i>	Green Giant arborvitae	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja standishii</i> x <i>plicata</i>	Green Giant arborvitae	Pestalotiopsis needle blight; Tip blight (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja standishii</i> x <i>plicata</i>	Green Giant arborvitae	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja standishii</i> x <i>plicata</i>	Green Giant arborvitae	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Thuja standishii x plicata</i>	Green Giant arborvitae	Root problem; root damage (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Triticum aestivum</i>	Wheat	Dwarf bunt (<i>Tilletia controversa</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Triticum aestivum</i>	Wheat	Flag smut (<i>Urocystis agropyri</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Ulmus glabra camperdownii</i>	Camperdown Elm	Phomopsis dieback; Tip blight; Canker (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus glabra camperdownii</i>	Camperdown Elm	Leaf spot (<i>Phloeospora ulmi</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus glabra camperdownii</i>	Camperdown Elm	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.