

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for October 26th through November 8th, 2021

<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Rhizosphaera needle cast (<i>Rhizosphaera kalkhoffii</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	4	1	0	0
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Fusarium dieback (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Unspecified pathology (<i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Leaf spot; Tar spot (<i>Rhytisma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Eriophyid mite (<i>Aceria tulipae</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Eriophyid mites (Family Eriophyidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Anethum graveolens</i>	Dill	Powdery mildew (<i>Erysiphe</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Anethum graveolens</i>	Dill	Salt deposit; Efflorescence (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Brassica napus</i> var. <i>pabularia</i>	Siberian kale	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Brassica napus</i> var. <i>pabularia</i>	Siberian kale	Nutritional pathology (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unspecified pathology (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Coriandrum sativum</i>	Cilantro	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Coriandrum sativum</i>	Cilantro	Calcium deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cotinus</i> sp./spp.	Smoke Tree	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cotinus</i> sp./spp.	Smoke Tree	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cotinus</i> sp./spp.	Smoke Tree	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cyclamen</i> sp./spp.	Cyclamen	Anthracoise (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Soybean vein necrosis (Soybean Vein Necrosis Virus (SVNV))	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex x meserveae</i>	Blue Holly	Black root rot (<i>Berkeleyomyces basicola</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Mold; Mildew (<i>Trichoderma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Nutritional deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Paeonia lactiflora</i>	Peony	Cultural/environmental problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Paeonia lactiflora</i>	Peony	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Paeonia lactiflora</i>	Peony	Powdery mildew (<i>Oidium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Paeonia lactiflora</i>	Peony	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Norway spruce shoot gall midge (<i>Piceacecis abietiperda</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Spruce bud scale (<i>Physokermes piceae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Stigmata needle blight (<i>Stigmata lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i>	London Planetree	Canker stain of planetree (<i>Ceratocystis fimbriata</i> f.sp. <i>platani</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i>	London Planetree	Wound canker (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus coccinea</i>	Scarlet Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	1	2	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	2	4	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Stem canker (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	2	1	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Sporobolus heterolepis</i>	Prairie Dropseed	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja standishii</i> x <i>plicata</i>	Green Giant arborvitae	Arborvitae needle blight (<i>Phyllosticta thujae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja standishii</i> x <i>plicata</i>	Green Giant arborvitae	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	White Clover	Clover rust (<i>Uromyces trifolii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	White Clover	Red clover sooty blotch (<i>Cymadothea trifolii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Triticum spelta</i>	Spelt	Dwarf bunt (<i>Tilletia controversa</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Triticum spelta</i>	Spelt	Flag smut (<i>Urocystis agropyri</i>)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.