

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for April 13th through April 26th, 2021

<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Pseudomonas blight (<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unspecified pathology (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Tobacco mosaic ob (Tobacco Mosaic Virus-ob (TMV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Coriandrum sativum</i>	Cilantro	Aspergillus (<i>Aspergillus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lachenalia arbuthnotiae</i>	Lachenalia	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Mandevilla</i> sp./spp.	Mandevilla	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Mandevilla</i> sp./spp.	Mandevilla	Bacterial wilt (<i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Mandevilla</i> sp./spp.	Mandevilla	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Mandevilla</i> sp./spp.	Mandevilla	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	Impatiens necrotic spot (INSV) (Tospovirus Impatiens Necrotic Spot Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	Unidentified virus (Unidentified Virus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Phyllostachys</i> sp./spp.	Bamboo	Diaspidid scale (<i>Kuwanaspis hikosani</i>)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Phyllostachys</i> sp./spp.	Bamboo	Leaf rust; Rust (<i>Puccinia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Phyllostachys</i> sp./spp.	Bamboo	Sooty mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Norway spruce shoot gall midge (<i>Piceacecis abietiperda</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Eriophyid mites (Family Eriophyidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Sooty mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Weir's cushion rust (<i>Chrysomyxa weirii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce (ornamental)	Cytospora canker; Dieback (<i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce (ornamental)	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce (ornamental)	Stigmata needle blight (<i>Stigmata lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pisum sativum</i>	Dry Field peas	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Pisum sativum</i>	Dry Field peas	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizopus</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Pisum sativum</i>	Dry Field peas	Pythium root and/or crown rot (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Potting Soil; growing media	Potting Soil; growing media	Unspecified pathology (<i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Potting Soil; growing media	Potting Soil; growing media	Unspecified pathology (<i>Mucor</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Potting Soil; growing media	Potting Soil; growing media	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizopus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Swiss needle cast (<i>Phaeocryptopus gaeumanni</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Impatiens necrotic spot (INSV) (Tospovirus Impatiens Necrotic Spot Virus)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Tobacco mosaic ob (Tobacco Mosaic Virus-ob (TMV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Unidentified insect egg (Unidentified Insect Egg)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Tsuga sp./spp.</i>	Hemlock	Circular hemlock scale (<i>Nuculaspis tsugae</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga sp./spp.</i>	Hemlock	Elongate hemlock scale (<i>Fiorinia externa</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga sp./spp.</i>	Hemlock	Spruce spider mite (<i>Oligonychus ununguis</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga sp./spp.</i>	Hemlock	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Anthracnose (<i>Colletotrichum sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Snow mold (<i>Typhula sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Veltheimia bracteata</i>	Forest Lily, Sand Onion	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0
<i>Watsonia</i> sp./spp.	Watsonia; Bugle lily	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.