

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

**Time Period Report for January 2<sup>nd</sup> through January 31<sup>st</sup>, 2022**

<i>Arabidopsis</i> sp./spp.	Rockcress	Mold; Mildew ( <i>Penicillium</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>	Cabbage	Potyvirus Group ( <i>Potyvirus</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>	Cabbage	Physiological responses (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Coriandrum sativum</i>	Cilantro	Pythium root and/or crown rot ( <i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Coriandrum sativum</i>	Cilantro	Rhizoctonia root; Crown rot ( <i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Phyllosticta</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Root problem; root damage (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Gibasis pellucida</i>	Tahitian Bridal Veil	Pesticide toxicity (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Gibasis pellucida</i>	Tahitian Bridal Veil	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Lactuca sativa</i>	Lettuce	Rhizoctonia bottom rot ( <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Lactuca sativa</i>	Lettuce	Unidentified bacteria (Unidentified Bacteria)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lactuca sativa</i>	Lettuce	Drop (Sclerotinia rot) ( <i>Sclerotinia</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Osmanthus fragrans</i>	Sweet Olive; tea olive	Root problem; root damage (Unidentified Agent)	5	0	0	0
<i>Osmanthus fragrans</i>	Sweet Olive; tea olive	Root-knot nematodes ( <i>Meloidogyne</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Osmanthus fragrans</i>	Sweet Olive; tea olive	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	5	0
<i>Pinus parviflora</i>	Japanese White pine	Root problem; root damage (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus parviflora</i>	Japanese White pine	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Lophodermium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus parviflora</i>	Japanese White pine	Pine needle scale ( <i>Chionaspis pinifoliae</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Insufficient sample (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.