

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for April 16th through April 30th, 2022

<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Balsam fir bark beetle (<i>Pityokteines sparsus</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Fungal wood rot (<i>Schizophyllum commune</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood mite (<i>Eurytetranychus buxi</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Dieback (<i>Colletotrichum theobromicola</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Fusarium canker (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Winter injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Aphids; Plant lice (Family Aphididae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Chili pepper mild mottle (CPMMoV) (Tobamovirus Chili Pepper Mild Mottle Virus)	0	0	2	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Tobamovirus Group (Tobamovirus Group)	2	2	0	0
<i>Euphorbia</i> sp./spp.	Euphorbia	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Euphorbia</i> sp./spp.	Euphorbia	Normal plant growth (Identification Analysis)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Euphorbia</i> sp./spp.	Euphorbia	Potyvirus Group (<i>Potyvirus</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Juniper scale (<i>Carulaspis juniperi</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Unidentified fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Wood boring insect damage (Class Insecta)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Bacterial leaf spot (<i>Pseudomonas cichorii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pelargonium</i> sp./spp.	Geranium (cultivated)	Geranium bacterial wilt; Bacteria blight (<i>Xanthomonas hortorum (campestris) pv. pelargonii</i>)	4	3	0	0
<i>Pelargonium</i> sp./spp.	Geranium (cultivated)	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Pelargonium</i> sp./spp.	Geranium (cultivated)	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	Chemical injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	Chili pepper mild mottle (CPMMoV) (Tobamovirus Chili Pepper Mild Mottle Virus)	0	0	2	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	Tobamovirus Group (Tobamovirus Group)	4	3	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Eastern spruce gall adelgid (<i>Adelges abietis</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Norway spruce shoot gall midge (<i>Piceacecis abietiperda</i>)	0	0	2	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Stigmina needle blight (<i>Stigmina lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Portulaca</i> sp./spp.	Purslane; Rose moss	Alternanthera mosaic (AltMV) (<i>Potexvirus Alternanthera Mosaic Virus</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Portulaca</i> sp./spp.	Purslane; Rose moss	Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (<i>Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Portulaca</i> sp./spp.	Purslane; Rose moss	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (<i>Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	Red Oak	White trunk rot; Heart rot (<i>Phellinus igniarius</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Ganoderma root rot (<i>Ganoderma sessile</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Wood rot fungus; Dryadeus root rot (<i>Inonotus dryadeus</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Scaevola aemula</i>	Scaevola; Fairy fanflower	Chemical injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	2	0	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (<i>Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus</i>)	0	3	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Internal browning; Brown spot (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Physiological responses (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Tuber rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Tagetes</i> sp./spp.	Marigold	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tagetes</i> sp./spp.	Marigold	Normal plant growth (Identification Analysis)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus alata</i>	Winged Elm	White trunk rot; Heart rot (<i>Phellinus igniarius</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Verbena</i> sp./spp.	Verbena	Chemical injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Verbena</i> sp./spp.	Verbena	Impatiens necrotic spot (INSV) (Tospovirus Impatiens Necrotic Spot Virus)	1	1	0	0
<i>Verbena</i> sp./spp.	Verbena	Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Verbena</i> sp./spp.	Verbena	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.