

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for October 4th through October 10th, 2016

Scientific Name	Common Name	Diagnosis	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	3	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Planting too deep (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	1	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Animal urine damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Fusarium canker (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Chrysanthemum white rust (<i>Puccinia horiana</i>)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Coriandrum sativum</i>	Cilantro	Alternaria leaf spot (<i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Coriandrum sativum</i>	Cilantro	Rhizoctonia damping off (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Coriandrum sativum</i>	Cilantro	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>	Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Excessive mulch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Not pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Myrica</i> sp./spp.	Bayberry	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Myrica</i> sp./spp.	Bayberry	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Nicotiana benthamiana</i>	Nicotiana	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Nicotiana benthamiana</i>	Nicotiana	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp.	Rhododendron	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp.	Rhododendron	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rhus aromatica</i>	Fragrant Sumac	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhus aromatica</i>	Fragrant Sumac	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Woody ornamentals mixed species	Woody Ornamentals	Chemical; Environmental injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Woody ornamentals mixed species	Woody Ornamentals	Not pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.