

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

**Time Period Report for May 17<sup>th</sup> through May 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2016**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Diagnosis	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Acer saccharinum</i>	Silver Maple	Dieback; Canker; Twig Blight ( <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>	Common Horsechestnut	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>	Common Horsechestnut	Squirrel Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight ( <i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Mite ( <i>Eurytetranychus buxi</i> )	0	0	2	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Psyllid ( <i>Psylla buxi</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Macrophoma Leaf Spot ( <i>Macrophoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	Madagascar Periwinkle; vinca	Black Root Rot ( <i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	Madagascar Periwinkle; vinca	Stem Blight ( <i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Herbicide Injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Cercospora Needle Blight ( <i>Cercospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Pestalotiopsis Needle Blight; Tip Blight ( <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Scale Insects (Order homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae)	0	0	1	0
<i>Euonymus</i> sp./spp.	Euonymus	Bacterial Crown Gall ( <i>Rhizobium radiobacter</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Helleborus</i> sp./spp.	Hellebore	Phytophthora Stem Rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Black Root Rot ( <i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Nutritional Deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Fusarium Stem Rot ( <i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Stem Rot ( <i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Downy Mildew ( <i>Peronospora belbahrii</i> )	0	2	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Nutritional Deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Sunscald (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Stigmata Needle Blight ( <i>Stigmata lautii</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Weir's Cushion Rust ( <i>Chrysomyxa weirii</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus persica</i>	Peach	Cytospora Canker; Dieback ( <i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Prunus persica</i>	Peach	Peach Bacterial Gummosis ( <i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus persica</i>	Peach	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Rhabdocline Needle Cast ( <i>Rhabdocline</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Swiss Needle Cast ( <i>Phaeocryptopus gaeumanni</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus baccata repandans</i>	Spreading English yew	Crown Rot; Root Rot; Stem Rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus baccata repandans</i>	Spreading English yew	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Viburnum</i> sp./spp.	Viburnum	Anthracnose ( <i>Gloeosporium</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Viburnum</i> sp./spp.	Viburnum	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.