

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for June 14th through June 20th, 2016

<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Freeze; Frost; Cold Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Root-knot Nematodes (<i>Meloidogyne</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Fusarium Basal Rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Needle Nematodes (<i>Longidorus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Onion Thrips (<i>Thrips tabaci</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cydonia oblonga</i>	Quince	Fire Blight (<i>Erwinia amylovora</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fraxinus americana</i>	White Ash	Ash Yellows (<i>Candidatus Phytoplasma fraxini</i> 16SrVII-A)	0	0	1	0
<i>Fraxinus americana</i>	White Ash	Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Helleborus</i> sp./spp.	Hellebore	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Helleborus</i> sp./spp.	Hellebore	Sclerotinia Disease (<i>Sclerotinia</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Helleborus</i> sp./spp.	Hellebore	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Privet	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Ligustrum sp./spp.</i>	Privet	Privet Bud Mite (<i>Aculus ligustri</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ligustrum sp./spp.</i>	Privet	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ligustrum sp./spp.</i>	Privet	Thrips Damage (Unidentified Thrips)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lilium sp./spp.</i>	Lily	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lilium sp./spp.</i>	Lily	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Pythium sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Nutritional Deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Stem Canker (Unidentified Canker)	3	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Stem Rot (<i>Botrytis sp./spp.</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	High Soluble Salt (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Sclerotinia Stem Rot (<i>Sclerotinia sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Leaf Mold (<i>Passalora fulva</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Stigmina Needle Blight (<i>Stigmina lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea omorika</i>	Serbian Spruce	Rhizosphaera Needle Cast (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Picea omorika</i>	Serbian Spruce	Stigmina Needle Blight (<i>Stigmina lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea omorika</i>	Serbian Spruce	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rosa</i> sp./spp.	Rose	Insufficient Sample (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Nutritional Deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Dutch Elm Disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.